Dear Mr. Traina:

The Louisiana Board of Ethics, at its January 2022 meeting, considered your request for an advisory opinion as to any post-termination prohibitions in the Code of Governmental Ethicollowing your retirement as an Assistant Attorney General providing legal services couisiana Department of Natural Resources, the providing legal services and Natural Resources at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney and Natural Resources Section of the Civil Divis.

1. The providing legal services are the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney General at the ine 4, 2021. During your seven years at the Attorney and Natural Resources Section of the Civil Division of the employment with the Louisiana Department of Justice. Further, the Board concluded that the Ethics Code would not prohibit you from handling litigation matters for other state entities, provided that you did not participate in those matters while employed with the Department of Justice.

In August 2021, your new employer, Steeg Law Firm, LLC, was approached by your former colleagues in the Civil Division of the Department of Justice for you to participate on behalf of the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources in Crooks v. DNR, Case No. 224262, 9<sup>TH</sup> Judicial District Court, Rapides Parish. You did not work on the Crooks matter while you were employed

Page 1 of 2 (BD 2021-1037)

at the Department of Justice. During the trial, you cross examined two expert witnesses; and, Steeg Law Firm has submitted invoices to the Department of Natural Resources for your services, which have not been paid.

During your employment with the Department of Justice, you worked on State of La., through the Dep't of Wildlife and Fisheries and the Dept. of Nat. Res. v. Clovelly Oil Co., LLC, Case No. 135219, 16<sup>TH</sup> Judicial district Court, St. Mary Parish. However, your work on the Clovelly Oil matter was minimal, and was limited to participating in one meeting in January 2020 with representatives of the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, not with any representatives of the Department of Natural Resources regarding this matter, but did offer comments to defense counsel for the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries relative to the petition and suggested edits to letters about settlement signed by others in the Office of State Lands and Department of Natural Resources.

### LAW

La. R.S. 42:1121B(1) prohibits a former public employee, for a period of two years following the termination of his public employment, from assisting another person, for compensation, in a transaction, or in an appearance in connection with a transaction in which such former public employee participated at any time during his public employment and involving the governmental entity by which he was formerly employed, or for a period of two years following termination of his public employment, render, any service which such former public employee had rendered to the agency during the term of his public employment on a contractual basis, regardless of the parties to the contract, to, for, or on behalf of the agency with which he was formerly employed.

# CONCLUSION

The Board concluded, and instructed me to inform you, that because the facts on which this opinion request is based involve past conduct; the Board has declined to render an opinion.

This advisory opinion is based solely on the facts as set forth herein. Changes to the facts as presented may result in a different application of the provisions of the Code of Governmental Ethics. Please note that the Board issues no opinion as to past conduct and that the Board's expressed opinion is limited to an examination of the Code of Governmental Ethics, the Campaign Finance Disclosure Act, the Lobbyist Disclosure Acts, and the conflict of interest provisions in the gaming laws.

Sincerely,

## LOUISIANA BOARD OF ETHICS

Gregory L. Thibodeaux
For the Board

Page 2 of 2 (BD 2021-1037)